



TITLE:

# Near model completeness of generic structures (Model theoretic aspects of the notion of independence and dimension)

AUTHOR(S):

池田, 宏一郎

---

CITATION:

池田, 宏一郎. Near model completeness of generic structures (Model theoretic aspects of the notion of independence and dimension). 数理解析研究所講究録 2015, 1938: 4-9: KJ00009785700.

ISSUE DATE:

2015-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/223749>

RIGHT:

# Near model completeness of generic structures

Koichiro Ikeda \*

Faculty of Business Administration, Hosei University

A theory  $T$  is said to be nearly model complete, if every formula is equivalent in  $T$  to a Boolean combination of  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas. This notion is a generalization of model completeness. It is known that

**Fact** Hrushovski's strongly minimal structure is nearly model complete.

On the other hand, Baldwin and Shelah [4] proved the following:

**Theorem** Shelah-Spencer's random graph is nearly model complete.

The proof is a little complicated. Pourmahdian [7] gave a new proof for this theorem, by adding countable predicates to the language. Both of Hrushovski's strongly minimal structure and Shelah-Spencer's random graph are well-known examples of generic structures.

In this short note, we give a more direct proof for a theorem of Baldwin and Shelah, and moreover generalize both of the above fact and theorem:

**Theorem** Let  $M$  be a generic structure. If  $\text{Th}(M)$  is ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets, then it is nearly model complete.

## 1 Generic structures

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the basics of generic structures. In particular, this paper was influenced by papers of Baldwin-Shi [3] and Wagner [8].

---

\*The author is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 26400191).

Let  $L$  be a language which consists of finite relations with irreflexivity and symmetricity. Let  $A, B, C, \dots$  be  $L$ -structures or (hyper-)graphs. A *pre-dimension*  $\delta(A)$  of a finite structure  $A$  is defined as follows:

$$\delta(A) = |A| - \sum_{R \in L} \alpha_R |R^A|,$$

where  $\alpha_R \in (0, 1]$  for each  $R \in L$ . We denote  $\delta(B/A) = \delta(B \cup A) - \delta(A)$ .

For finite  $A \subset B$ ,  $A$  is said to be *closed* in  $B$  (in symbol,  $A \leq B$ ), if  $\delta(X/A) \geq 0$  for any  $X \subset B - A$ . When  $A, B$  are not necessarily finite,  $A \leq B$  is defined by  $A \cap X \leq X$  for any finite  $X \subset B$ .

For  $A \subset B$ , there is a smallest set  $C \leq B$  containing  $A$ . Such a  $C$  is denoted by  $\text{cl}_B(A)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{K}^*$  be the class of finite  $L$ -structures  $A$  with  $\delta(A') \geq 0$  for all  $A' \subset A$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{K}^*$ . Then a countable  $L$ -structure  $M$  is said to be  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -*generic*, if it satisfies the following:

1.  $A \in \mathbf{K}$  for any finite  $A \subset M$ ;
2.  $M$  is *rich*, i.e., if  $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $A \leq M$ , then there is a  $B' (\cong_A B)$  with  $B' \leq M$ ;
3.  $M$  has *finite closures*, i.e.,  $\text{cl}_M(A)$  is finite for any finite  $A \subset M$ .

Clearly a generic structure  $M$  has finite closures, but any model of  $\text{Th}(M)$  does not always have finite closures.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $M$  be a generic structure. Then we say that  $\text{Th}(M)$  has *finite closures*, if any model has finite closures.

By the back-and-forth method, if  $M, N$  are  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic then  $M \cong N$ . Also, we can see that a generic structure  $M$  is *ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets*, i.e., if  $A, B$  are finite with  $A \cong B$  and  $A, B \leq M$ , then  $\text{tp}(A) = \text{tp}(B)$ .

**Definition 1.3** Let  $M$  be a generic structure. Then we say that  $\text{Th}(M)$  is *ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets*, if any model is ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets.

Table 1: Examples of generic structures

	ultra-homogeneous	saturated
Hrushovski's strongly minimal structure	○	○
Hrushovski's stable pseudoplane	○	○
Baldwin's projective plane	○	○
Spencer-Shelah's random graph	○	×

**Note 1.4** It is easily checked that  $M$  is saturated if and only if  $\text{Th}(M)$  has finite closures and is ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets.

The following are well-known examples of generic structures.

- Example 1.5**
1. (Hrushovski [5]) A new strongly minimal structure
  2. (Hrushovski [6]) An  $\omega$ -categorical stable pseudoplane
  3. (Baldwin [1]) An  $\aleph_1$ -categorical projective plane
  4. (Baldwin-Shelah [4]) Spencer-Shelah's random graph

For examples of generic structures, almost all theories are ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets: Each of 1, 2 and 3 is saturated, and hence, by Note 1.4, the theory is ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets. 4 is not saturated, because the theory does not have finite closures, however it can be seen that the theory is ultra-homogeneous over finite closed set. (See Table 1)

## 2 Nearly model complete theories

**Definition 2.1** Let  $T$  be a theory.

1.  $T$  is said to be *model complete*, if whenever  $M, N \models T$  and  $M \subset N$ , then  $M \prec N$ .

Table 2: Examples of generic structures

	model complete	nearly model complete
Hrushovski's strongly minimal structure	○	○
Hrushovski's stable pseudoplane	?	○
Baldwin's projective plane	?	○
Spencer-Shelah's random graph	×	○

2. It is known that  $T$  is model complete if and only if every formula is equivalent in  $T$  to some  $\Sigma_1$ -formula.
3.  $T$  is said to be *nearly model complete*, if every formula is equivalent in  $T$  to a Boolean combination of  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas.

For model completeness, it is known that 1 of Example 1.5 is model complete ([2]) but 4 of Example 1.5 is not model complete ([4]). However, it is not known whether 2 and 3 of Example 1.5 is model complete or not. On the other hand, for near model completeness, it is proved that 1 and 4 of Example 1.5 are nearly model complete. (See Table 2)

**Fact 2.2** Hrushovski's strongly minimal structure is nearly model complete.

**Theorem 2.3** (Baldwin-Shelah [4], Pourmahdian [7]) Shelah-Spencer's random graph is nearly model complete.

Baldwin and Shelah prove that the theory of a semi-generic structure is nearly model complete. As a corollary, it is obtained that Shelah-Spencer's random graph is nearly model complete. After that, Pourmahdian gives a new proof for this theorem. In both proofs, the notion of a semi-generic structure is used to get near model completeness of Shelah-Spencer's random graph. Then we want to give a more direct proof for a theorem of Baldwin and Shelah, and moreover to generalize Fact 2.2 and Theorem 2.3:

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $M$  be a generic structure. If  $\text{Th}(M)$  is ultra-homogeneous over finite closed sets, then it is nearly model complete.

**Proof.** Let  $M \models \mathcal{M}$  be a big model. We write  $\text{cl}(A) = \text{cl}_{\mathcal{M}}(A)$ . For  $n \in \omega$ ,  $B \leq_n C$  is defined by  $\delta(X/B) \geq 0$  for any  $X \subset C - B$  with  $|X| \leq n$ . We write

$$\text{cl}^n(A) = \bigcap \{B : A \subset B \leq_n \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Note that  $\text{cl}(A) = \bigcup_n \text{cl}^n(A)$ , and moreover that if  $A$  is finite then so is  $\text{cl}^n(A)$ .

Take any finite  $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ . It is enough to show that there is some set  $\Sigma$  of a Boolean combination of  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas with  $\Sigma \vdash \text{tp}(A)$ . Let  $B = \text{cl}(A)$ . For each  $n \in \omega$ , let  $B_n = \text{cl}^n(A)$ . Note that each  $B_n$  is finite and  $B = \bigcup_n B_n$ . Let  $\Sigma(X)$  be

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(\exists Y_n)(XY_n \cong AB_n) : n \in \omega\} \\ & \cup \{\neg(\exists Y_n)(\exists Z)(XY_n Z \cong AB_n C) : B_n \subset C \in \mathbf{K}, B_n \not\leq_n C, n \in \omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A \models \Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma$  is consistent. Take any  $A' \models \Sigma$ . Then, for each  $n$ , there is a  $B'_n \subset \mathcal{M}$  with  $A'B'_n \cong AB_n$ . By compactness, we can assume that  $B'_n B'_{n+1} \cong B_n B_{n+1}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $B' = \bigcup_n B'_n$ . Clearly  $B' \cong B$ . Since  $A' \models \Sigma$ , we have  $B'_n \leq_n \mathcal{M}$  for each  $n$ , and hence  $B' \leq \mathcal{M}$ . By ultra-homogeneity, we have  $\text{tp}(B') = \text{tp}(B)$ . Hence we have  $\text{tp}(A') = \text{tp}(A)$ .

## References

- [1] John T. Baldwin, An almost strongly minimal non-Desarguesian projective plane, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 342, 695–711 (1994)
- [2] Kitty L. Holland, Model completeness of the new strongly minimal sets, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 64 (1999) 946–962
- [3] John T. Baldwin and Niandong Shi, Stable generic structures, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 79 (1996) 1–35
- [4] John T. Baldwin and Saharon Shelah, Randomness and semigenericity. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997) 1359–1376
- [5] E. Hrushovski, A new strongly minimal set, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 62 (1993) 147–166

- [6] E. Hrushovski, A stable  $\aleph_0$ -categorical pseudoplane, preprint, 1988
- [7] Massoud Pourmahdian, Simple generic structures, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 121 (2003)
- [8] F. Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions, In *Automorphisms of first-order structures*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1994) 153–181